

Paul S. Padda
NV Bar No. 10417
PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC
4560 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 300
Las Vegas, NV 89103
Tel: 702.366.1888
psp@paulpaddalaw.com

Paul J. Lukas, MN Bar No. 22084X*
lukas@nka.com
Brock J. Specht, MN Bar No. 0388343*
bspecht@nka.com
Benjamin J. Bauer, MN Bar No. 0398853*
bbauer@nka.com
NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP
4700 IDS Center
80 S 8th Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 256-3200
Facsimile: (612) 338-4878

**admitted pro hac vice*

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AND THE PROPOSED CLASS

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DANNY WANEK and JUAN DUARTE, as
representatives of a class of similarly situated
persons, and on behalf of the Caesars
Entertainment Corporation Savings &
Retirement Plan,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RUSSELL INVESTMENTS TRUST
COMPANY, CAESARS HOLDINGS, INC.,
THE PLAN INVESTMENT COMMITTEE,
and THE 401(K) PLAN COMMITTEE.

Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-00961-CDS-BNW

**JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND CASE
DEADLINES**

(FIRST REQUEST FOR EXTENSION)

1 Plaintiffs Danny Wanek and Juan Duarte (“Plaintiffs”), as representatives of a proposed
2 class of similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the Caesars Entertainment Corporation Savings
3 & Retirement Plan, and Defendants Russell Investments Trust Company, Caesars Holdings, Inc.,
4 the Plan Investment Committee, and the 401(k) Plan Committee (“Defendants”) (collectively, the
5 “Parties”), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby jointly request that the Court extend
6 the deadlines in the Court’s Amended Scheduling Order (ECF No. 115) as specified below:

7 WHEREAS, the Court issued the original Scheduling Order in this case on October 12,
8 2021 (ECF No. 63), while Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss were pending;

9 WHEREAS, the original Scheduling Order listed placeholder dates to be adjusted based on
10 the timing of the Court’s decision on Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss;

11 WHEREAS, the Court issued an Amended Scheduling Order on April 20, 2023 (ECF No.
12 115) listing specific dates for each deadline;

13 WHEREAS, after the Court issued the Amended Scheduling Order, Defendants began the
14 process of identifying custodians, collecting additional potentially responsive materials and
15 electronically stored information (“ESI”), and engaging vendors to assist with processing and
16 reviewing the materials and ESI. Due to the volume of additional materials and ESI that needed to
17 be collected, the process of identifying and collecting materials and ESI potentially responsive to
18 Plaintiffs’ requests for production of documents has taken longer than anticipated;

19 WHEREAS, the Parties have already produced tens of thousands of documents and
20 document productions are still ongoing;

21 WHEREAS, the Parties have conducted depositions of representatives from each party and
22 are in the process of scheduling additional depositions;

23 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint adding two
24 additional Named Plaintiffs and reinstating their claim for co-fiduciary liability against the Caesars
25 Defendants remains pending;

26 WHEREAS, this motion is not the result of unnecessary delay or a lack of diligence in
27 conducting discovery by any party;

28 WHEREAS, the current deadline to complete fact discovery is February 7, 2024;

1 WHEREAS, the Parties request to extend the fact discovery deadline and, as a result, each
2 of the affected deadlines below, by roughly 90 days (accounting for holidays, weekends, or other
3 scheduling conflicts);

4 WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) requires a showing of good cause
5 and the judge's consent in order to extend case deadlines. Pursuant to Ninth Circuit caselaw, "[t]he
6 proper procedure, when additional time for any purpose is needed, is to present to the Court a timely
7 request for an extension before the time fixed has expired[.]" *Shields v. Baker*, 2020 WL 8991812,
8 at *2 (D. Nev. May 5, 2020) (quotation omitted). "Additionally, courts have inherent power to
9 control their dockets." *Id.* (citing *Hamilton v. Copper & Steel Corp. v. Primary Steel, Inc.*, 898 F.2d
10 1428, 1429 (9th Cir. 1990)); *see also Nelson v. Safeco Ins. Co. of Illinois*, 2011 WL 12848, at *2
11 (D. Nev. Jan. 4, 2011) (exercising discretion to extend deadlines despite a party's lack of diligence).
12 Courts generally find good cause where parties must review thousands of documents before the
13 close of discovery and where other motions filed during the discovery period remain pending. *See*,
14 *e.g., Internet Sports Int'l, Ltd., v. Amelco USA, LLC*, 2023 WL 6540193, at *1 (D. Nev. Oct. 6,
15 2023) (granting request for extension where "thousands of documents" would take "significant time
16 to review"); *Hampton v. Nevada*, 2021 WL 3573640, at *2 (D. Nev. July 29, 2021) (granting motion
17 to extend deadlines where motion to amend the complaint remained pending); *Cervantes v. Scott*,
18 2020 WL 1816294, at *2 (D. Nev. Apr. 9, 2020) (granting request to extend deadlines where a
19 motion for reconsideration remained pending).

20 WHEREAS, the parties have demonstrated that there is good cause to reasonably extend
21 the below deadlines. The voluminous document productions in this case require time to gather,
22 review, and produce. The requested extension will allow the Parties time to review the documents
23 before completing additional depositions and allow the Parties additional time after the holidays to
24 coordinate the schedules of the multiple parties required to conduct each deposition. Further, the
25 discovery period will account for any delays in the production of responsive materials and ESI and
26 allow the Parties to continue to diligently pursue discovery. Finally, extending the discovery period
27 will allow the Court additional time to rule on Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Fourth
28

Amended Complaint and additional time for the Parties to conduct depositions of the additional Named Plaintiffs if the motion is granted.

WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties have conferred and agreed to the following deadlines:

Event	Proposed Date
Fact Discovery Deadline	May 7, 2024
Deadline for Plaintiffs to serve their expert reports	June 7, 2024
Deadline Defendants to serve their expert reports	July 19, 2024
Deadline for Plaintiffs to serve their rebuttal expert reports	August 16, 2024
Deadline for expert discovery	September 6, 2024
Deadline for summary judgment motions	September 16, 2024

WHEREAS, this request is not made for purposes of delay; and

WHEREAS, this is the first request for an extension of time for the subject deadlines;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, subject to the approval of the Court, that the Parties will abide by the following deadlines:

Event	Proposed Date
Fact Discovery Deadline	May 7, 2024
Deadline for Plaintiffs to serve their expert reports	June 7, 2024
Deadline Defendants to serve their expert reports	July 19, 2024
Deadline for Plaintiffs to serve their rebuttal expert reports	August 16, 2024
Deadline for expert discovery	September 6, 2024
Deadline for summary judgment motions	September 16, 2024

1 Dated: December 29, 2023

2 NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP

3 /s/ Benjamin J. Bauer

4 Paul J. Lukas, Esq. (*admitted pro hac vice*)

5 Brock J. Specht, Esq. (*admitted pro hac vice*)

6 Benjamin J. Bauer, Esq. (*admitted pro hac*
7 *vice*)

8 4700 IDS Center

9 80 S. 8th Street

10 Minneapolis, MN 55402

11 Telephone: (612) 256-3200

12 PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC

13 Paul S. Padda, Esq.

14 4560 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 300

15 Las Vegas, NV 89103

16 Telephone: (702) 366-1888

17 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Dated: December 29, 2023

MAYER BROWN LLP

/s/ D. Matthew Moscon

D. Matthew Moscon (*admitted pro hac vice*)

201 South Main Street, Suite 1100

Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Telephone: (801) 907-2703

mmoscon@mayerbrown.com

MAYER BROWN LLP

Nancy G. Ross (*admitted pro hac vice*)

71 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: (312) 782-0600

nross@mayerbrown.com

LITTLER MENDELSON P.C.

Patrick H. Hicks, Esq. Bar. No. 004632

Diana G. Dickinson, Esq. Bar No. 13477

3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5937

Telephone: (702) 862-8800

phicks@littler.com

ddickinson@littler.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Caesars Holdings,
Inc., the Plan Investment Committee, and the
401(k) Plan Committee*

MILBANK LLP

/s/ Robert C. Hora

Sean M. Murphy, Esq. (*admitted pro hac*
19 *vice*)

20 Robert C. Hora, Esq. (*admitted pro hac vice*)

21 Joseph J. Kammerman, Esq. (*admitted pro*
22 *hac vice*)

23 Emily E. Werkmann, Esq. (*admitted pro hac*
24 *vice*)

25 55 Hudson Yards

26 New York, NY 10001

27 Telephone: (212) 530-5000

28 PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER

Rew R. Goodenow, Esq. NSBN 3722

Michael R. Kealy, Esq. NSBN 971

50 West Liberty Street, Suite 750


Reno, NV 89501

Telephone: (775) 323-1601

*Attorneys for Defendant Russell Investments
Trust Company*

DATED: 1/2/2024

IT IS SO ORDERED.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE